

ALEXANDRIA MINERALS CORPORATION

Management Discussion and Analysis

For the three and six months ended October 31, 2016

This Management Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) is intended to assist the reader in the understanding and assessment of the trends and significant changes in the results of operations and financial conditions of Alexandria Minerals Corporation (“Alexandria” or the “Company”). This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements of the Company, including the notes thereto, for the three and six months ended October 31, 2016 (“Q2F2017”). The comparative reporting period is the three months ended October 31, 2015 (“Q2F2016”).

The condensed interim financial statements of Alexandria have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB applicable to the preparation of interim financial statements, including IAS 34, Interim Financial Reporting. The condensed interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the annual financial statements for the year ended April 30, 2016 (“F2016”), which have been prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

This MD&A has taken into account information available up to and including December 21, 2016. All dollar amounts in this MD&A are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated. The financial statements, along with Certifications of Annual and Interim Filings and press releases, are available on the Canadian System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) at www.sedar.com.

Forward-looking Statements

This MD&A may contain forward-looking statements that are based on the Company’s expectations, estimates and projections regarding its business and the economic environment in which it operates. These statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties that are difficult to control or predict. Examples of some of the specific risks associated with the operations of the Company are set out below under “Risk Factors”. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements and readers should not place undue reliance on such statements.

Qualified Persons and Note on Current Resources

In this report, the Company relies principally on Mr. Eric Owens, P.Geo, and Mr. Philippe Berthelot, P.Geo, as the Qualified Persons (“QP’s”) for all properties as defined under National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”), with contribution by external QP’s as needed. Mr. Owens and Mr. Berthelot have read and approved the technical and scientific information contained in this MD&A. Disclosure of mineralization on adjacent properties has not been verified by the QP’s and is not necessarily indicative of the Company’s anticipated results. As of the date of this MD&A, the Company has reported Current Resources as defined by NI 43-101 on three of its gold projects in the Val d’Or area, its Orenada, Akasaba, and Sleepy properties, and on two of its projects in Manitoba, the WIM and Hudvam properties. The remaining properties do not contain resources compliant with NI 43-101, and there is no guarantee that economic deposits exist on them. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in such targets being delineated as a Current Resource.

Principal Business

Alexandria is a junior gold exploration company, with a focus on under-explored, high-potential mineral properties in world-class gold and base-metal mining districts of Quebec, Ontario, and Manitoba, Canada. The Company was incorporated on May 27, 2002 and completed its initial public offering on March 22, 2006. Alexandria’s shares began trading on the TSX Venture Exchange (“TSX-V”) under the symbol “AZX” on March 24, 2006. The Company has also received secondary listings on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange (symbol “A9D”), and trades on the pink sheets in the United States (symbol “ALXDF”).

Alexandria has mineral properties in well-known mining camps of eastern Canada, in the provinces of Quebec, Ontario and Manitoba. Since 2007, the Company has focused its exploration efforts on its flagship property package in Val d'Or, Quebec, the Cadillac Break Property Group. This property is a 35-km long, continuous group of 460 claims with an area of 14,189 hectares, on which the Company has built 3 gold resources on its **Orenada**, **Akasaba** and **Sleepy** projects (see Current Resources Table below).

The Company also has two projects which host Current Resources in the Flin Flon-Snow Lake Mining District of northern Manitoba, the **WIM** and **Hudvam** gold-copper-zinc-silver projects (See Table below).

Total Current Resources on Alexandria's Quebec and Manitoba Properties (as of date of this report)

Deposit	Cutoff	Tonnes	Grade				Contained Metal			
			Au (g/t)	Cu (%)	Ag (g/t)	Zn (%)	Gold (oz)	Copper (lbs)	Silver(oz)	Zinc (lbs)
Measured Category										
Orenada Near Surface	0.5 g/t Au	4,329,383	1.36				188,844			
Indicated Category										
Akasaba Underground	2.25 g/t Au	609,274	5.93				116,158			
Akasaba Near Surface	0.5 g/t Au	3,009,214	1.37				132,475			
Orenada Near Surface	0.5 g/t Au	6,027,277	1.01				196,097			
Hudvam	1.35% CuEq	930,397	3.62	1.17	13.23	1.71	108,350	24,053,000	396,000	35,100,000
WIM	1.3% CuEq	3,898,000	1.57	1.71	6.68	0.27	197,000	147,156,000	837,000	22,730,000
Total Indicated							750,080	171,209,000	1,233,000	57,830,000
Ounces Gold equivalent (Indicated)							750,080	428,023	15,413	48,192
Inferred category										
Akasaba Underground	2.25 g/t Au	1,475,622	5.58				264,886			
Akasaba Near Surface	0.5 g/t Au	219,882	1.93				13,653			
Orenada Near Surface	0.5 g/t Au	4,708,810	1.16				176,000			
Sleepy	3.0 g/t Au	1,885,500	5.10				307,350			
Hudvam	1.35% CuEq	612,021	2.89	0.77	6.55	1.26	56,800	10,449,000	129,000	16,961,000
WIM	1.3% CuEq	732,000	1.76	1.03	4.65	0.37	41,000	16,616,000	109,000	5,941,000
Total Inferred							859,689	27,065,000	238,000	22,902,000
Ounces Gold equivalent (Inferred)							859,689	67,663	2,975	19,085

Notes to table:

- Resources for Akasaba (2013) and Sleepy (2014) calculated by Christian d'Amours of Geopointcom; Orenada (2009), Geologica, Inc.; WIM and Hudvam (2015), calculated by Golder Associates.
- Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves have not demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues, although the Company is not aware of any such issues.
- The quantity and grade of reported inferred resources in this estimation are uncertain in nature and there has been insufficient exploration to define these inferred resources as an Indicated or Measured mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in upgrading them.
- The mineral resources were estimated using the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by CIM Council.
- See www.azx.ca for further details.

The Company has other, early stage, exploration properties in northern Ontario and Quebec. In northwestern Ontario, the Gullrock property lies east along strike with Goldcorp's Red Lake Mine in the Red Lake Mining Camp, and its Mishibishu gold project lies further south near the Eagle River Gold Mine. The Company has a large land position in the Matachewan area of northeastern Ontario: its Matachewan and Wydee properties lie east and northwest, respectively, of Alamos Gold's Young Davidson Gold Mine.

In northern Quebec, the company has 100% mineral rights on the Fancamp, Embry, and Gwillim projects, and 30% mineral rights on the Nelligan project, all in the Chibougamau region about a 4 hour drive northeast of Val d'Or. Closer to Val d'Or, Alexandria holds a 50% interest, via a Joint Venture with Osisko Mining, in the Siscoe East property on the northwest side of Val d'Or.

Project Development

During the second quarter of FY 2017, Alexandria continued efforts begun in the first quarter on its western Cadillac Break properties in Val d'Or, Quebec. This work included the completion of two drill programs on its Airport and Orenada claims, and a large surface geophysical survey in and around the Orenada project. In addition, ongoing geological investigations of Orenada-type gold mineralization was undertaken east of the Current Resources at Orenada, and a relogging and resampling program of pre-Alexandria drill holes at Orenada was begun.

On the Airport Claims, the first 7 hole Triangle Too drilling program of 7 drill holes totalling 2,875 meters was completed in early Fall. The program was the first ever drill program to test for Triangle Zone type gold targets, which are characterized by high-grade gold-quartz veins hosted in and around diorite plugs of limited extent. The important ingredients for such gold deposits are diorite plugs, shear zones, and multiple, parallel, or stacked, gold-quartz veins structurally situated between larger shear veins. The drill holes tested down to roughly 300 meters vertical depth, beneath glacial overburden and boreal forest.

Six out of the seven drill holes discovered 2 previously unknown, buried diorite plugs, together with gold-quartz veins and associated alteration, and related shear zones. Although only low grade gold assays were intersected (up to 2 g/t gold), the drilling is considered a success given the geology intersected in the very early days of this program. A follow-up round of drilling is being planned for the winter, beginning early in the New Year.

The Company completed an 11-hole, 4,020 meter drill program at the Orenada gold deposit (Zones 4 and 2) located 1.5 km southeast of the Triangle Too program. Like the Triangle Too program, the aim of this program was to test for multiple, stacked, high-grade gold-quartz veins, with the ultimate goal of improving the grade and size of the resources there.

Five of 8 planned holes were completed at Zone 4; 3 holes could not be drilled at the time due to wet boggy conditions and will likely be completed during the winter drill program. These holes were the first holes to help verify a newly developed geologic model incorporating the stacked, high-grade veins first recognized in 2015.

On November 10, 2016, the Company released assay results from the first of the 4 holes drilled at Zone 4, with several intersections of high-grade gold-quartz veins, with results up to 8.01 g/t gold over 0.9 meters and 7.05 g/t gold over 0.5 meters, providing further evidence for the stacked vein suite.

At Zone 2, which is located 500 meters east along strike from Zone 4, six drill holes were completed during the fall. These holes are the first ones to test for high-grade veins at Zone 2. On December 7, 2016, the Company announced assay results from this first-pass drill program testing for high grade veins at Orenada Zone 2, which intersected gold-quartz veins with assays up to 8.88 g/t gold over 4.7 meters, including 14.72 g/t gold over 2.0 meters in Diamond Drill Hole OAX-16-076.

Elsewhere, at the nearby Zone 5 gold-copper target, located 300 meters northeast of Zone 2, the Company completed a 12-hole, downhole Electromagnetic ("EM") Survey to find new gold and copper targets around previously drilled holes. Zone 5 hosts veins, stringers and disseminations of gold and copper, with assay results such as 2.0 g/t gold and 1.0% copper over 8.8 meters, 2.7 g/t gold and 1.1% copper over 3.1 meters, and 10.7 g/t gold over 3.6 meters. The EM survey identified 8 off-hole targets, interpreted as possible gold- and copper-bearing sulfides.

The Company completed an extensive surface Induced Polarization Survey around Orenada Zone 4 and Zone 2. The aim of the survey is to aid in the identification of new drill targets in these areas, with special focus on Orenada-style gold mineralization, and gold-copper-silver mineralization as represented by numerous prospects and mines in the area. An eastern grid, located 3 kilometers to the east of Orenada Zone 2, totalled 92 line-kilometers, and a western grid, located over, and to the west of, Zone 4 totalled 101.1 line kilometers.

ALEXANDRIA MINERALS CORPORATION

Management Discussion & Analysis

Three and six months ended October 31, 2016

On December 1, 2016, as part of its continuing efforts to maintain exploration focus on its properties in Val d'Or Quebec, Alexandria announced a strategic property agreement with Probe Metals Inc. According to the terms of the agreement, Probe Metals can earn up to 70% of the eastern 1/3 portion of the large Cadillac Break Property package by expending up to \$7.0 million on exploration, and completing a pre-feasibility study, over a period of 6 years, and issuing to Alexandria 500,000 Probe Treasury shares.

This transaction reflects Alexandria's continuing commitment to its exploration focus near Val d'Or, and follows a trend of property transactions to add value to its property portfolio. Earlier in 2016, Alexandria completed 2 Option/Joint Venture agreements on non-core property assets: with Prosper Gold on the Matachewan Area, Ontario, properties, and with Quinto Real Capital Corp., on Alexandria's Chibougamau area, Quebec, properties (Gwillim, Fancamp and Embry projects). Exploration remains focused in Val d'Or, and Management is intent on creating value for its shareholders wherever possible.

All drill results and exploration information presented here have been released to the public, and can be found on Alexandria's web site, www.azx.ca, or on www.sedar.com.

Results of Operations

The Company has no operating revenues and relies on external financings to generate capital. Because of its activities, Alexandria incurs net losses. For the three and six months ended October 31, 2016, Alexandria realized a net loss of \$468,051 and \$909,092, respectively, compared to a net loss of \$1,657,682 and \$1,828,011, respectively for the same periods in fiscal 2016.

The Company routinely monitors its operations and costs associated with those operations, in order to better plan and implement its activities, taking into consideration the current economic climate and industry outlook. For the three and six months ended October 31, 2016, Alexandria reported total general and administrative expenses ("G&A") of \$590,126 and \$1,068,226, respectively, compared to \$1,659,822 and \$2,016,142, respectively, for the same periods of fiscal 2016.

The following schedule describes the components of G&A for the three months ended October 31, 2016 and 2015:

Three months ended October 31,	2016	2015	\$ Change	% Change
Accounting and corporate services	\$ 15,707	\$ 14,005	\$ 1,702	12.2
Depreciation	2,016	2,223	(207)	(9.3)
Corporate development	87,508	35,171	52,337	148.8
Director fees	12,625	7,625	5,000	65.6
Impairment of mining rights	-	1,267,027	(1,267,027)	(100.0)
Investor and public relations	172,089	71,148	100,941	141.9
Management fees	102,500	84,837	17,663	20.8
Office and general	68,783	98,084	(29,301)	(29.9)
Professional fees	43,772	36,660	7,112	19.4
Share based payments	14,928	-	14,928	100.0
Wages	70,198	43,042	27,156	63.1
	\$ 590,126	\$ 1,659,822	\$(1,069,696)	(64.4)

For the three months ended October 31, 2016, the principal drivers of general and administration expenses changes, when compared to the same period of last fiscal year were:

ALEXANDRIA MINERALS CORPORATION

Management Discussion & Analysis

Three and six months ended October 31, 2016

- The increase in corporate development is related to a step-up in promotional campaigns incurred by senior management and it is composed by an increase in hotel and accommodations for approximately \$11,000, an increase in meal and entertainment of \$8,000, an increase in transportation cost of approximately \$7,000, an increase in payments to a business development agent and approximately \$15,000 and expenses related to fairs and shows for approximately \$11,000.
- The increase in investors and public relation expenses is attributed to an increase in transfer agent fees for approximately \$10,000, a decrease in shareholder's information fees of approximately \$9,000, an increase in advertising and promotion for approximately \$2,000 and an increase in fees related to investor relation subcontractors for approximately \$97,000.
- The increase in management fees is related to a decrease in management fees paid to a company controlled by an officer of the Company by approximately \$12,000, and an increase in fees related to a bonus paid to another officer of the Company for \$30,000. (see "Related Party Transactions" section below);
- The decrease in office and general expenses is composed by:
 - Decreases in:
 - Computer repair and maintenance expenses for approximately \$20,000,
 - Rent charges of approximately \$6,000
 - Office expenses related to Murgor, the Company's subsidiary, for approximately \$22,000
 - Foreign exchange gains of approximately \$11,000.
 - Increases in:
 - Telephone and communications for approximately \$5,000,
 - Bank charges and interest for approximately \$4,000,
 - Other office and general for approximately \$6,000.
 - Memberships and subscriptions for \$11,000
 - Office management services for \$4,000
- The increase in professional fees is related to an increase in legal fees of approximately \$7,000
- The increase in wages is related to a bonus paid to a senior manager for \$30,000.

The following schedule describes the components of G&A for the six months ended October 31, 2016 and 2015:

Six months ended October 31,	2016	2015	\$ Change	% Change
Accounting and corporate services	\$ 27,179	\$ 27,070	\$ 109	0.4
Depreciation	4,032	4,436	(404)	(9.1)
Corporate development	152,377	55,697	96,680	173.6
Director fees	52,958	15,250	37,708	247.3
Impairment of mining rights	-	1,267,027	(1,267,027)	(100.0)
Investor and public relations	287,509	152,963	134,546	88.0
Management fees	177,700	170,462	7,238	4.2
Office and general	147,545	170,640	(23,095)	(13.5)
Professional fees	68,878	62,253	6,625	10.6
Share based payments	30,029	4,260	25,769	604.9
Wages	120,019	86,084	33,935	39.4
	\$ 1,068,226	\$ 2,016,142	\$ (947,916)	(47.0)

- The increase in corporate development expenditures is related to an increased corporate activities by Company management and it is composed by an increase in hotel and accommodations for approximately \$14,000, an increase in meal and entertainment of \$14,000, an increase in

transportation cost of approximately \$1,000, an increase in payments to a business development agent of approximately \$56,000 and approximately \$11,000 related to expenses on trade shows.

- The increase in investors and public relation expenses is attributed to an increase in transfer agent fees for approximately \$12,000, a decrease in shareholder's information fees of approximately \$9,000, an increase in regulatory fees of approximately \$3,000, a decrease in advertising and promotion for approximately \$15,000 and an increase in fees related to investor relations subcontractors for approximately \$143,000.
- The increase in management fees is related to a decrease in management fees paid to a company controlled by an officer of the Company for approximately \$23,000 and an increase in fees related to a bonus paid to another officer of the Company for \$30,000. (see "Related Party Transactions" section below);
- The decrease in office and general expenses is related to decreases in:
 - Computer repair and maintenance expenses for approximately \$12,000;
 - Rent charges of approximately \$11,000;
 - Office expenses related to Murgor, the Company's subsidiary, for approximately \$44,000
 - Foreign exchange gains of approximately \$7,000;
 - Repairs and maintenance for approximately \$2,000;All these decreases offset by increases in:
 - Telephone and communications for approximately \$6,000;
 - Bank charges and interest for approximately \$4,000;
 - Other office and general for approximately \$15,000;
 - Memberships and subscriptions for \$11,000;
- The increase in professional fees is related to an increase in legal fees of approximately \$6,000;
- The increase in wages is related to a bonus paid to senior management for \$30,000.

Option Agreement with Quinto Real Capital Corporation ("Quinto")

On May 19, 2016, the Company announced it has signed an Option Agreement with Quinto Real Capital Corp. ("Quinto") giving Quinto the right to earn up to a 75% interest in Alexandria's Gwillim, Fancamp and Embry properties, in the Chibougamau region of Quebec.

The principal elements of the Option Agreement are:

- Upon signing the agreement, Quinto issued to Alexandria 1,000,000 Quinto common shares;
- On or before May 18, 2018, Quinto will issue to Alexandria an additional 500,000 common shares;
- In order to earn the first 65% interest, Quinto must expend \$5 million on exploration activities over five years on the 3 properties;
- To earn a further 10%, for a total of 75%, Quinto must complete a pre-feasibility study compliant with National Instrument 43-101, hosting a minimum of 1,000,000 ounces of gold;
- Following Quinto's 75% Earn-In, the relationship switches to a Joint Venture, where both parties contribute a pro-rata share of operating costs;
- Alexandria retains a minimum 10% carried interest in the Joint Venture, notwithstanding the amount that Alexandria contributes to operating costs;
- Additionally, Alexandria will hold a 2% Net Smelter Return royalty in the properties.

Option Agreement with Probe Metals Inc.

Subsequent to October 31, 2016, the Company announced it has entered into a binding Option and Joint Venture Agreement with Probe Metals Inc. ("Probe") for mineral claims comprising the eastern portion of its 35 kilometer-long Cadillac Break Property Package in Val d'Or, Quebec.

Pursuant to the Agreement, Probe can earn up to 70% interest of the eastern 1/3 of Alexandria's Cadillac Break Property Group (the "Property") by expending up to \$7.0 million on exploration, and completing a prefeasibility study, over a period of 6 years. This transaction will allow Alexandria to maintain its exploration focus on the western part of its property package (see "Subsequent Events" section below).

Expenditures on Resource Properties

The tables below summarize exploration expenditures for the Three and six months ended October 31, 2016 and 2015 which are included in the \$31,895,596 gross expenditures accumulated by the Company since its inception in May 2002, before general and administration charges related to exploration of \$961,174, recovery of grants of \$7,441,940 and Quebec refundable tax credits and mining duties receivable in the amount of \$540,852.

Three months ended October 31, 2016

	Orenada	Akasaba	Sleepy	Siscoe East JV	Other Quebec Properties	Ontario Properties	Manitoba Properties	Total
Balance (July 31, 2016)	\$6,746,168	\$13,196,263	\$5,993,106	\$478,404	\$21,432	\$1,487,814	\$3,068,487	\$30,991,674
Assays & Maps	64,639	1,216	-	-	-	-	-	65,855
Geophysics	206,971	143,128	-	-	-	-	-	350,099
Drilling	358,385	-	-	-	-	-	-	358,385
Geology and Geochemistry	6,663	36,649	-	-	-	-	-	43,312
General exploration	-	8,520	3,389	-	323	5,250	-	17,482
Indirect Exploration	50,625	17,297	349	-	(23)	541	-	68,789
Expenditures During period	687,283	206,810	3,738	-	300	5,791	-	903,922
Balance (October 31, 2016)	\$7,433,451	\$13,403,073	\$5,996,844	\$478,404	\$21,732	\$1,493,605	\$3,068,487	\$31,895,596

Three months ended October 31, 2015

	Orenada	Akasaba	Sleepy	Siscoe East JV	Other Quebec Properties	Ontario Properties	Manitoba Properties	Total
Balance (July 31, 2015)	\$6,093,505	\$13,082,340	\$6,269,046	\$478,404	\$1,330,285	\$1,419,459	\$3,088,044	\$31,761,083
Assays & Maps	34,411	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,411
Geophysics	30,859	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,859
Drilling	(23,817)	-	-	-	-	759	-	(23,058)
Geology and Geochemistry	1,656	18,905	-	-	(1,321)	1,091	(22,343)	(2,012)
General exploration	(9,831)	-	(19,124)	-	1,320	-	3,972	(23,663)
Indirect Exploration	21,100	10,964	(6,869)	-	370	1,209	1,477	28,251
Sale of Net Smelter Royalties	(50,494)	-	(249,506)	-	-	(370,429)	-	(670,429)
Gain on Disposition	-	-	-	-	-	370,429	-	370,429
Impairment charges	-	-	-	-	(1,267,027)	-	-	(1,267,027)
Expenditures During period	3,884	29,869	(275,499)	-	(1,266,658)	3,059	(16,894)	(1,522,239)
Balance (October 31, 2015)	\$6,097,389	\$13,112,209	\$5,993,547	\$478,404	\$63,627	\$1,422,518	\$3,071,150	\$30,238,844

ALEXANDRIA MINERALS CORPORATION

Management Discussion & Analysis

Three and six months ended October 31, 2016

Six months ended October 31, 2016

	Orenada	Akasaba	Sleepy	Siscoe East JV	Other Quebec Properties	Ontario Properties	Manitoba Properties	Total
Balance (May 1, 2016)	\$6,498,090	\$13,159,175	\$5,993,106	\$478,404	\$120,511	\$1,487,814	\$3,068,487	\$30,805,587
Assays & Maps	73,754	1,216	-	-	-	-	-	74,970
Geophysics	220,224	143,128	-	-	-	-	-	363,352
Drilling	534,890	-	-	-	-	-	-	534,890
Geology and Geochemistry	10,572	68,232	-	-	-	-	-	78,804
General exploration	8,473	8,520	3,389	-	1,107	5,250	-	26,739
Indirect Exploration	87,448	22,802	349	-	114	541	-	111,254
Option Payments	-	-	-	-	(100,000)	-	-	(100,000)
Expenditures During period	935,361	243,898	3,738	-	(98,779)	5,791	-	1,090,009
Balance (October 31, 2016)	\$7,433,451	\$13,403,073	\$5,996,844	\$478,404	\$21,732	\$1,493,605	\$3,068,487	\$31,895,596

Six months ended October 31, 2015

	Orenada	Akasaba	Sleepy	Siscoe East JV	Other Quebec Properties	Ontario Properties	Manitoba Properties	Total
Balance (May 1, 2015)	\$5,994,996	\$13,046,117	\$6,224,139	\$478,404	\$1,323,807	\$1,781,284	\$3,044,701	\$31,893,448
Assays & Maps	34,411	-	-	-	124	-	-	34,535
Geophysics	30,859	-	-	-	2,550	-	-	33,409
Drilling	22,716	-	-	-	-	1,961	-	24,677
Geology and Geochemistry	24,761	44,635	2,554	-	1,092	5,899	13,022	91,963
General exploration	(9,496)	-	10,220	-	1,320	1,339	10,765	14,148
Indirect Exploration	49,636	21,457	6,140	-	1,761	2,464	2,662	84,120
Sale of Net Smelter Royalties	(50,494)	-	(249,506)	-	-	(370,429)	-	(670,429)
Impairment charges	-	-	-	-	(1,267,027)	-	-	(1,267,027)
Expenditures During period	102,393	66,092	(230,592)	-	(1,260,180)	(358,766)	26,449	(1,654,604)
Balance (October 31, 2015)	\$6,097,389	\$13,112,209	\$5,993,547	\$478,404	\$63,627	\$1,422,518	\$3,071,150	\$30,238,844

For detailed information of exploration activities, please see the “*Project Development*” section above.

SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION

Three months ended	Interest income	Net income (loss)		G&A	Working Capital	Total assets
		Total	Per share			
October 31, 2016	\$ -	\$ (468,051)	\$ (0.00)	\$ 590,126	\$2,799,852	\$28,432,113
7/31/2016	-	(441,041)	(0.00)	478,100	4,277,016	28,892,407
April 30, 2016	14	312,046	0.00	385,639	487,003	24,790,260
January 31, 2016	-	(463,010)	(0.00)	462,464	1,004,684	24,998,105
October 31, 2015	-	(1,657,682)	(0.01)	1,659,822	754,757	24,674,107
July 31, 2015	-	(170,329)	(0.00)	356,320	115,143	25,774,720
April 30, 2015	-	257,030	0.00	406,703	587,711	26,196,093
January 31, 2015	5,634	(148,471)	(0.00)	318,405	2,093,363	23,365,295

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company had \$2,799,852 in working capital as at October 31, 2016, (\$487,003 at April 30, 2016) with a cash balance of \$2,394,929 (April 30, 2016 \$39,896).

In the fall of 2015 (Q2 F2016), the Company closed an equity financing for total proceeds of \$700,000 by issuing 14,000,000 treasury shares at a price of 5 cents. In addition, Alexandria also sold a Royalty Package for \$300,000 during the period.

A second tranche of that same financing closed during Q3 F2016, in late 2015, whereby the Company issued 17,510,000 common shares at a price of 5 cents per share for gross proceeds of \$875,500.

On June 13 and June 22, 2016, the Company closed the first and second tranche of a financing where 78,060,758 shares were issued for gross proceeds of \$5,091,258. (See Private Placements here below).

As of October 31, 2016 the Company was required to incur qualifying expenditures of approximately \$3,401,268 no later than December 31, 2017 as a result of the flow-through units issued. As well, the Company will be required to incur an additional \$18,675 of qualifying expenditures due to Quebec refundable tax credits to be received. Considering the Company's current cash position, Alexandria will be required to obtain additional funding to comply with this commitment.

Subsequent to October 31, 2016, the Company announced that it has engaged Sprott Private Wealth LP to act as exclusive agent in connection with a best-efforts brokered private placement to raise gross proceeds of up to \$5,082,000. On December 20, 2016, the Company announced the closing of that financing, raising \$4,921,020 (See "Subsequent Events" section below).

The offering consisted of a combination of flow-through common shares of the Company at a price of \$0.06 per share, and units of the Company at a price of \$0.055 per unit. The Company and the Agent will allocate the Offering amongst flow-through common shares and units based upon investor demand.

Share Capital:

The following schedule describes the capital transactions since April 2 2015 to the date of this report, as well as the Company's fully diluted position at the same date:

	<u>Units</u>
Shares issued and outstanding at April 30, 2015	244,821,092
Shares received on sale of mining rights (i)	(8,231,750)
Common shares issued in private placements	31,510,000
Balance at April 30, 2016	268,099,342
Shares issued on private placement (ii)	78,060,758
Shares issued and outstanding at July 31, 2016	346,160,100
Shares issued to Matachewan First Nations	50,000
	346,210,100
Shares issued subsequent to quarter end	85,376,090
	431,586,190
Options (iii)	10,800,000
Warrants (iv)	63,258,197
Fully Diluted number of shares at the date of this report	<u>505,644,387</u>

(i) Shares received on sale of mining rights:

On June 25, 2015, the Company announced that its 100% controlled subsidiary, Murgor Resources Inc., has disposed of certain mineral rights to acquire up to 70% interest in the Golden Arrow Gold Mine to Victoria Gold Mines in exchange for 8,231,750 Alexandria common shares. At the time of the transaction the Company had earned 40% interest in the property. The shares were effectively cancelled on November 9, 2015.

(ii) Private Placement:

On June 13, 2016 and June 22, 2016, the Company closed the first and second tranche of a non-brokered private placement for gross proceeds of \$5,091,259. The following schedule breaks-down the shares issued, price and gross proceeds received:

	<u>Units</u>	<u>Price</u>	<u>Gross proceeds</u>
<i>First tranche:</i>			
Quebec flow-through	10,898,500	\$ 0.07	\$762,895
National flow-through	9,133,000	0.06	547,980
Non-flow-through	11,388,483	0.06	683,309
	<u>31,419,983</u>		<u>\$1,994,184</u>
<i>Second tranche:</i>			
Quebec flow-through	29,862,750	\$ 0.07	\$2,090,393
Non-flow-through	16,778,025	0.06	1,006,682
	<u>46,640,775</u>		<u>\$3,097,075</u>
Total financing	<u>78,060,758</u>		<u>\$5,091,259</u>

See also “Subsequent Events”

(iii) Options:

(a) Issued:

On July 17, 2015, the Company engaged the services of FronTier Merchant Capital Group ("FronTier") for Investor Relations Services. Under the terms of the engagement, FronTier has been retained for a 6 month period at \$6,000 per month plus direct expenses. The Company has also granted 200,000 stock options to FronTier, at an exercise price of \$0.10, expiring in 5 years.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2016, the Company granted to directors, management, employees and consultants a total of 5,870,000 stock options with a fair value of \$112,704 which expire on December 21, 2020. The fair value of these options is amortized over a period of three years, with the first tranche amortized on granting. The amortization of the fair value of these options was \$43,884 for the third quarter of fiscal 2016.

On July 18, 2016 the Company granted 50,000 stock options to the Matachewan First Nation, exercisable at a price of \$0.10 until July 20, 2019. The options vest every six month (12,500 every six month) starting July 18, 2016.

(b) Expired:

During fiscal 2016 the following options expired:

Expiry date	No. of options	price
October 12, 2015	1,885,000	0.17
March 10, 2016	2,773,002	0.12
March 27, 2016	3,540,000	0.10
	8,198,002	0.12

No options expired during the first two quarters of fiscal 2017.

The following schedule describes the stock options outstanding as at the date of this report:

Expiry date	No. of options	Exercise price
March 7, 2019	4,430,000	0.10
July 20, 2019	50,000	0.10
August 29, 2019	250,000	0.10
July 20, 2020	200,000	0.10
December 21, 2020	5,870,000	0.05
	10,800,000	0.07

(iv) Warrants:

During the first quarter of fiscal 2015, 14,606,937 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.18 expired unexercised.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 a total of 2,500,000 warrants, exercisable at \$0.15 expired unexercised.

As part of the two-tranches financing closed in June 2016, the Company issued a total of 39,230,379 exercisable at \$0.10 for a period of three years. As part of this same transaction, AZX issued 3,907,044 and 166,230 warrants, exercisable at \$0.06 for three years to Medalist Capital Ltd. and Canaccord Capital Corp.

In conjunction with the financing closed subsequent to the quarter ended October 31, 2016, the Company issued 20,154,545 warrants exercisable at \$0.08 for a period of three years.

The following schedule describes the warrants outstanding at the date of this report:

	Warrants	Exercise Price
Issued on June, 2016 private placement	39,030,378	\$0.10
Issued subsequent to quarter end	20,154,545	\$0.08
Compensation warrants	4,073,274	0.06
Total warrants issued at the day of this report	63,258,197	

COMMITMENTS & CONTINGENCIES

- (i) The Company is obligated under an operating lease for rental of office space in Toronto, Ontario in the amount of \$11,323 per month expiring October 31, 2021.
- (ii) The Company is obligated under an operating lease for rental of office space in Val d'or, Quebec, in the amount of \$3,600 per month expiring March 31, 2017.
- (iii) The Company is obligated under an operating lease for rental of one vehicle in the amount of \$650 per month expiring March 17, 2017.
- (iv) As of January 31, 2016 the Company is required to incur, in the event of a change in control, to compensate certain individuals as follows:
- | | |
|--|-----------|
| a. Eric Owens/Baker Creek Management (CEO) | \$400,000 |
| b. Mario Miranda/ Finterra Consulting Inc. (CFO) | \$270,000 |
| c. Mary Vorvis (VP Corporate Development) | \$330,000 |
- (v) AS of October 31, 2016, the Company was required to incur qualifying expenditures of approximately \$3,401,268 no later than December 31, 2017 as a result of the flow-through units issued. As well, the Company will be required to incur an additional \$18,675 of qualifying expenditures due to Quebec refundable tax credits to be received. As a consequence of the financing closed subsequent to quarter end, the Company is required to incur additional qualifying expenditures of approximately \$2,704,020 by December 31, 2017.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the three and six months ended October 31, 2016 and 2015 the Company made payments to companies related to executives and officers of the Company and or to officers and executives of the Company as described below:

- During the three and six months ended October 31, 2016 and 2015 the Company paid management fees to Baker Creek Management, a company controlled by a related party of the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) of the Company, fees as indicated in the tables below.
- During the Three and six months ended October 31, 2016 and 2015 the Company paid management fees to Finterra Consulting Inc., a company controlled by the Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) of the Company fees as indicated in the tables below.

The following schedules shows the payments of management fees and other management and director compensations for the three and six months ended October 31, 2016 and 2015:

Periods months ended October 31,	<i>Three months ended</i>		<i>Six months ended</i>	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Baker Creek *	\$ 80,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 100,000
Finterra Consulting	22,500	34,837	47,700	70,462
Mary Vorvis *	71,250	41,250	112,500	82,500
	\$ 173,750	\$ 126,087	\$ 290,200	\$ 252,962
<i>Other payments:</i>				
Director fees	\$ 12,625	\$ 7,625	\$ 52,958	\$ 15,250
Share-based payment Directors, CEO, CFO	\$ 11,467	\$ -	\$ 22,934	\$ -

* The three and six month amounts disclosed for 2016 includes a bonus of \$30,000.

During the six months ended October 31, 2016 and the year ended April 30, 2016, the Company advanced \$20,500 and \$30,500, respectively, to Folkstone Capital Corporation, a capital pool company of which certain directors and officers of the Company are shareholders. The advance balance has no terms of repayment.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET TRANSACTIONS

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

(i) On December 20, 2016 and December 21, 2016, the Company closed the first tranche, of a brokered private placement (the “Offering”) led by Sprott Private Wealth LP (the “Agent”).

Pursuant to the Offering, the Company issued 40,309,090 units of the Company at a price of \$0.055 per unit and 45,067,000 flow-through common Shares at a price of \$0.06 per flow-through share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$4,921,020.

The Company anticipates closing on a second tranche of the private placement for further \$203,500 during December 2016.

Each unit will consist of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant will entitle the holder, on exercise, to acquire one common share at a price of \$0.08 for a period of 36 months following the closing of the Offering. All securities issued under the Offering are subject to a four-month hold period in accordance with applicable securities laws.

The Agent received a cash commission equal to 6.0% of the proceeds of the Offering and compensation options ("Compensation Options") equal to 6.0% of the Units and FT Shares issued under the Offering. Each Compensation Option is exercisable into one Unit at a price of \$0.055 for 36 months.

(ii) Subsequent to October 31, 2016, the Company announced it has entered into a binding Option and Joint Venture Agreement with Probe Metals Inc. ("Probe") for mineral claims comprising the eastern portion of its 35 kilometre-long Cadillac Break Property Package in Val d'Or, Quebec.

Pursuant to the Agreement, Probe can earn up to 70% interest of the eastern 1/3 of Alexandria's Cadillac Break Property Group (the "Property") by expending up to \$7.0 million on exploration, and completing a prefeasibility study, over a period of 6 years. This transaction will allow Alexandria to maintain its exploration focus on the western part of its property package.

The Agreement calls for Probe to exercise two options in order to earn its 70% interest:

First Option:

- Probe will issue 300,000 common shares to Alexandria upon signing the agreements and approval by the TSX;
- Probe may earn a 60% interest in the Property by spending an aggregate of \$5,000,000 on exploration expenditures over 4 years;
- Upon completion of the First Option, a joint venture will be formed with Probe holding a 60% interest and Alexandria holding a 40% interest.

Second Option:

- Probe may earn an additional 10% (for a total of 70%) interest in the Property by issuing 200,000 common shares to Alexandria and, within the following 2 years, by completing a pre-feasibility study on a mineral resource totaling a minimum of 1 million ounces of gold and incurring an additional \$2,000,000 in exploration expenditures;
- Upon completion of the Second Option, Probe will acquire the aforesaid additional 10% interest in the Property and each party thereafter will be required to contribute to any further programs pro rata according to its joint venture interest.

PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS

The Company, from time to time, reviews potential mergers, acquisitions, investment and joint venture opportunities.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Basis of presentation

The financial statements of Alexandria have been prepared on an historical cost basis except for the revaluation of certain financial instruments to fair value. In addition the financial statements of Alexandria have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting except for cash flow information. The financial statements of Alexandria have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and Interpretations of the IFRS Interpretation Committee ("IFRIC"). The policies have been consistently applied to all periods presented.

In the preparation of the Company's financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Of particular significance are the estimates and assumptions used in the recognition and measurement of items included below.

(i) Impairment of non-financial assets

The Company's fair value measurement with respect to the carrying amount of non-financial assets is based on numerous assumptions and may differ significantly from actual fair values. The fair values are based, in part, on certain factors that may be partially or totally outside of the Company's control. This evaluation involves a comparison of the estimated fair values of non-financial assets to their carrying values. The Company's fair value estimates are based on numerous assumptions. The fair value estimates may differ from actual fair values and these differences may be significant and could have a material impact on the Company's financial position and result of operations. Assets are reviewed for an indication of impairment at each date of the statement of financial position. This determination requires significant judgment. Factors which could trigger an impairment review include, but are not limited to, significant negative industry or economic trends, interruptions in exploration and evaluation activities and significant drop in precious metal prices.

(ii) Recognition of deferred income tax assets and the measurement of income tax expense

Periodically, we evaluate the likelihood of whether some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Once the evaluation is completed, if we believe that it is probable that some portion of the deferred tax assets will fail to be realized, the Company records only the remaining portion for which it is probable that there will be available future taxable profit against which the temporary differences can be utilized. Assessing the recoverability of deferred income tax assets requires management to make significant judgment. To the extent that future cash flows and taxable income differ significantly from estimates, the ability of the Company to realize the net deferred tax assets recorded at the date of the statement of financial position could be impacted.

New standards not yet adopted and interpretations issued but not yet effective

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments ("IFRS 9"), was issued by the IASB in October 2010 and will replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement ("IAS 39"). IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. Most of the requirements in IAS 39 for classification and measurement of financial liabilities were carried forward unchanged to IFRS 9. The new standard also requires a single impairment method to be used, replacing the multiple impairment methods in IAS 39. IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. The Company is assessing the impact of IFRS 9 on its financial statements.

IFRS 16, Leases ("IFRS 16") was issued in January 2016, and supersedes IAS 17, Leases. This standard introduces a single lessee accounting model. The new standard will affect the initial present value of unavoidable future lease payments as lease assets and lease liabilities on the statement of financial position, including for most leases which are currently accounted for as operating leases. The Standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019. The Company is assessing the impact of IFRS 16 on its condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

DISCLOSURE OF INTERNAL CONTROLS

Management has established processes which are in place to provide them sufficient knowledge to support management representations that they have exercised reasonable diligence that (i) the financial statements do not contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated or that is necessary to make a statement not misleading in light of the circumstances under which it is made, as of the date of and for the periods presented by the financial statements, and (ii) the financial statements

fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company, as of the date of and for the periods presented by the financial statements.

In contrast to the certificate required under National Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings (NI 52-109), the Company utilizes the Venture Issuer Basic Certificate, which does not include representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of disclosure controls and procedures (DC&P) and internal control over financial reporting (ICFR), as defined in NI 52-109. In particular, the certifying officers filing the Certificate are not making any representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of:

(i) controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in securities legislation; and

(ii) a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the issuer's GAAP. The Company's certifying officers are responsible for ensuring that processes are in place to provide them with sufficient knowledge to support the representations they are making in this certificate.

Investors should be aware that inherent limitations on the ability of certifying officers of a venture issuer to design and implement on a cost effective basis DC&P and ICFR as defined in NI 52-109 may result in additional risks to the quality, reliability, transparency and timeliness of interim and annual filings and other reports provided under securities legislation.

RISK FACTORS

Alexandria's business of exploring mineral resources involves a variety of operational, financial and regulatory risks that are typical in the natural resource industry. The Company attempts to mitigate these risks and minimize their effect on its financial performance, but there is no guarantee that the Company will be profitable in the future.

Capital Requirements

Alexandria will require significant capital in order to fund its operating costs, to service future indebtedness and to explore and develop any project. Alexandria has no revenues and is wholly reliant upon external financing to fund all of its capital requirements. Alexandria will require additional financing from external sources to meet such requirements. There can be no assurance that such financing will be available to Alexandria or, if it is, that it will be offered on acceptable terms. If additional financing is raised through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities of Alexandria, the interests of shareholders in the net assets of Alexandria may be diluted. Any failure of Alexandria to obtain financing on acceptable terms could have a material adverse effect on Alexandria's financial condition, prospects, results of operations and liquidity and require Alexandria to cancel or postpone planned capital investments.

In addition, the Company is required to incur qualifying expenditures of approximately \$3,401,268 no later than December 31, 2017 as a result of the flow-through units issued. As well, the Company will be required to incur an additional \$18,675 of qualifying expenditures due to Quebec refundable tax credits to be received. In addition, as a consequence of the financing closed subsequent to quarter end, the Company is required to incur qualifying expenditures of approximately \$2,704,020 by December 31, 2017.

Dependence on Mineral Exploration Projects

Any adverse development affecting the progress of Alexandria's exploration projects such as, but not limited to, obtaining financing on commercially suitable terms, hiring suitable personnel and contractors, or securing supply agreements on commercially suitable terms, may have a material adverse effect on Alexandria and its business or prospects.

Metal Prices

The development and success of any project of Alexandria will be primarily dependent on the future price of gold and other metals. Gold and base metal prices are subject to significant fluctuation and are affected by a number of factors, which are beyond the control of Alexandria. Such factors include, but are not limited to, interest rates, exchange rates, inflation or deflation, fluctuation in the value of the United States dollar and foreign currencies, global and regional supply and demand, and the political and economic conditions of major gold-producing countries throughout the world. The price of gold and other precious and base metals has fluctuated widely in recent years, and future serious price declines could cause any future development of and commercial production from Alexandria's properties to be impracticable. Depending on the price of gold and other metals, projected cash flow from planned mining operations may not be sufficient and Alexandria could be forced to discontinue any development and may lose its interest in, or may be forced to sell, some of its properties. Future production from Alexandria's mining properties is dependent on gold and base metal prices that are adequate to make these properties economic.

Furthermore, reserve calculations and life-of-mine plans using significantly lower gold and other metal prices could result in material write-downs of Alexandria's investment in mining properties and increased amortization, reclamation and closure charges.

In addition to adversely affecting Alexandria's possible future reserve estimates and its financial condition, declining commodity prices may impact operations by requiring a reassessment of the feasibility of a particular project. Such a reassessment may be the result of a management decision or may be required under financing arrangements related to a particular project. Even if the project is ultimately determined to be economically viable, the need to conduct such a reassessment may cause substantial delays or may interrupt operations until the reassessment can be completed.

Government Regulation, Permits and Licences

Alexandria's mineral exploration and potential development activities are subject to various laws governing prospecting, mining, development, production, taxes, labour standards and occupational health, mine safety, toxic substances, land use, water use, land claims of local people and other matters. No assurance can be given that new rules and regulations will not be enacted or that existing rules and regulations will not be applied in a manner which could limit or curtail exploration, development or production. Many of the mineral rights and interests of Alexandria are subject to government approvals, licenses and permits. Such approvals, licenses and permits are, as a practical matter, subject to the discretion of the applicable governments or governmental officials. No assurance can be given that Alexandria will be successful in maintaining any or all of the various approvals, licenses and permits in full force and effect without modification or revocation. To the extent such approvals are required and not obtained; Alexandria may be curtailed or prohibited from continuing or proceeding with planned exploration or development of mineral properties.

Where required, obtaining necessary permits and licenses can be a complex, time consuming process and Alexandria cannot assure that required permits will be obtainable on acceptable terms, in a timely manner or at all. The costs and delays associated with obtaining necessary permits and complying with these permits and applicable laws and regulations could stop or materially delay or restrict Alexandria from proceeding with the development of an exploration project or the operation or further development of a mine. Any failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations or permits, even if inadvertent, could result in interruption or closure of exploration, development or mining operations or material fines, penalties or other liabilities. Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in mining operations or in the exploration or development of mineral properties may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of such mining activities, and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations.

Amendments to current laws and regulations governing operations or more stringent implementation thereof could have a substantial adverse impact on Alexandria and cause increases in exploration expenses, capital expenditures or production costs or reduction in levels of production at producing properties or require abandonment or delays in development of new mining properties.

Competition

The mining industry is competitive in all of its phases. Alexandria faces strong competition from other exploration and mining companies in connection with the acquisition of properties producing or capable of producing, precious and base metals. Many of these companies have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than Alexandria. As a result of this competition, Alexandria may be unable to maintain or acquire attractive mining properties on terms it considers acceptable or at all. Consequently, the financial condition and any future revenues and operations of Alexandria could be materially adversely affected.

Exploration, Development and Operational Risk

The exploration for, and development of, mineral deposits involves significant risks that even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate. While the discovery of an ore body may result in substantial rewards, few properties, which are explored, are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenses may be required to locate and establish mineral reserves, to develop metallurgical processes and to construct mining and processing facilities at a particular site. Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are the particular attributes of the deposit, such as size, grade and proximity to infrastructure, metal prices which are highly cyclical, and government regulations including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in Alexandria not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

Alexandria does not currently operate a mine on any of its properties. There is no certainty that the expenditures made by Alexandria towards the search for, and evaluation of, mineral deposits will result in discoveries of commercial quantities of ore.

Mining operations generally involve a high degree of risk. Such operations are subject to all the hazards and risks normally encountered in the exploration for, and development and production of, gold and other precious or base metals. Such hazards and risks include unusual and unexpected geologic formations, seismic activity, rock bursts, cave-ins, flooding and other conditions involved in the drilling and removal of material, any of which could result in damage to, or destruction of mines and other producing facilities, damage to life or property, environmental damage and possible legal liability. Milling operations are subject to hazards such as equipment failure or failure of retaining dams around tailings disposal areas which may result in environmental pollution and consequent liability.

Joint Venture Strategy

Alexandria's business strategy includes continuing to seek new joint venture opportunities. In pursuit of such opportunities, Alexandria may fail to select appropriate joint venture partners or negotiate acceptable arrangements, including arrangements to finance such opportunities or, where necessary, integrate the acquired businesses and their personnel into Alexandria's operations. Alexandria cannot assure that it can complete any business arrangement that it pursues on favourable terms, or that any business arrangements completed will ultimately benefit Alexandria's business.

Reliance on Management and Key Employees

The success of the operations and activities of Alexandria is dependent to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of its management, a relatively small number of key employees, outside contractors, experts and other advisors. Investors must be willing to rely to a significant extent on management's discretion and judgment, as well as the expertise and competence of its key employees, outside contractors, experts and other advisors. Alexandria does not have in place formal programs for succession of management and

training of management nor does it have key person insurance on its key employees. The loss of one or more of these persons, if not replaced, could adversely affect Alexandria's operations and financial performance.

No Assurance of Titles, Boundaries or Approvals

Titles to Alexandria's properties may be challenged or impugned, and title insurance is generally not available. Alexandria's mineral properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims, and title may be affected by, among other things, undetected defects. In addition, Alexandria may be unable to operate its properties as permitted or to enforce its rights with respect to its properties. Alexandria cannot assure that it will receive the necessary approval or permits to exploit any or all of its mineral projects in the future. The failure to obtain such permits could adversely affect Alexandria's operations.

Environmental Risks and Hazards

All phases of Alexandria's operations are subject to environmental regulation in the jurisdiction in which it operates. These regulations mandate, among other things, the maintenance of air and water quality standards and land reclamation. They also set forth limitations on the generation, transportation, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous waste. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect Alexandria's operations. Environmental hazards may exist on the properties in which Alexandria holds interests which are unknown to Alexandria at present and which have been caused by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties.

Uninsured Risks

Alexandria's business is subject to a number of risks and hazards generally, including adverse environmental conditions, industrial accidents, labour disputes, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground or slope failures, cave-ins, changes in the regulatory environment and natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, floods and earthquakes. Such occurrences could result in damage to mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage to Alexandria's properties or the properties of others, delays in development or mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

Although Alexandria maintains insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts as it considers commercially reasonable, its insurance will not cover all of the potential risks associated with its operations. Alexandria may also be unable to maintain insurance to cover these risks at economically feasible premiums. Insurance coverage may not continue to be available or may not be adequate to cover any resulting liability. Moreover, insurance against risks such as environmental pollution or other hazards as a result of exploration is not generally available to Alexandria on affordable and acceptable terms. Alexandria might also become subject to liability for pollution or other hazards which may not be insured against or which Alexandria may elect not to insure against because of premium costs or other reasons. Losses from these events may cause Alexandria to incur significant costs that could have a material adverse effect upon its financial condition and results of operations.

OUTLOOK

Over the past six months, Alexandria Minerals has been very active on the exploration and corporate development fronts, continuing a pattern that the Company has maintained for some time. During this time the Company completed two Private Placements: a \$5,091,258 financing during the summer of 2016 and a second financing totaling \$5.1 million (approximately \$4.9 million closed as of the time of this writing) to be completed in December, 2016.

ALEXANDRIA MINERALS CORPORATION

Management Discussion & Analysis

Three and six months ended October 31, 2016

The Company also ramped up its exploration activities during this time, completing two early-stage but significant drill programs on its Orenada and Airport properties, two sizable surface geophysical surveys, downhole geophysics, and began a re-sampling program of old drill core on its Orenada Zone 4 project.

Alexandria has planned for, and expects, an active and growing exploration program during 2017. These are value-added activities that will lead to further growth in the company's resources.

Three recently completed property transactions have added further value to Alexandria's property assets: with Probe Metals, Prosper Gold and Quinto Real Capital Corporation. The combined value of these transactions mean, to Alexandria and its shareholders that some \$17 million worth of exploration expenditures will be applied to non-core property assets over and above that which Alexandria will be expanding in its own exploration efforts. With a cash position of approximately \$7.5 million and other liquid assets for approximately \$1.1 million, the Company has enough financial resources to meet its needs at current planned levels of activity for at least 12 months.

Eric Owens
Chief Executive Officer
December 21, 2016